THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.25225 of 2005

-

Dated:28.11.2005

Between:

Chakali Pullanna, s/o.Chakali Narayanappa,
R/o.Anantapur, Anantapur Mandal, Anantapur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Mandal Revenue Officer Anantaspur Mandal, Anantapur District.

.....RESPONDENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.25225 of 2005

ORDER:

The petitioner filed the instant writ petition seeking a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent namely the Mandal Revenue Officer, Ananthapur Mandal, Ananthapur District, in not issuing the proper and correct records to the petitioner in respect of the land admeasuring Acs.4.99 cents in Survey No.113-1 of Ananthapur Rural, Ananthapur District as illegal and arbitrary.

The petitioner filed W.P.No.1900 of 2004 seeking a declaration that the action of the respondents therein in not issuing 10(1) chitta and 10(2) Adangal and dyclats from 10.02.1970 to 2003 in respect of the land in question is arbitrary and illegal. By an order dated 04.02.2004, while disposing of the writ petition, this Court directed the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner and inform the action taken. Alleging that the order of this Court is disobeyed, the petitioner filed C.C.No.360 of 2005. The same was dismissed by this Court on 17.06.2005 noticing

the respondent's submission that the copies required by the petitioner are ready. Thereafter, the respondent issued the required documents to the petitioner on 30.12.2004, in which the petitioner found that his grandfather's name was removed and entry was made in the name of S.Tholisamma, W/o.S.Venkatesam. The petitioner alleges that his grandfather nor any of his heirs disposed of the land to anybody, and therefore, the action of the respondents in making irregular entries is illegal. The petitioner again submitted a representation on 11.08.2005 seeking correction of entries, in vain. Therefore, he filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General). Even according to the petitioner the necessary revenue record as requested, was given to him. If the petitioner has any grievance regarding correction of entries or wrong entries made in the documents given to him, his remedy lies in approaching the Revenue Divisional Officer by way of appropriate petition under the provisions of the A.P. Rights in Land & Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 (for short 'the Act') and the A.P.Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989 (for short 'the Rules'). Filing of repeated writ petitions without approaching the authority concerned under the Act is improper. Liberty is given to the petitioner to avail the remedies under the Act and the Rules.

The Writ Petition, with the above observations, is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

28.11.2005

vs